

MOTION NO. 4361

1  
2 A MOTION granting the appeal of ALAN M.  
3 MOSER and reclassifying the property  
4 petitioned in Building and Land Develop-  
ment File No. 224-79-R from SR to RM 900,  
subject to a Planned Unit Development.

5 WHEREAS, the Zoning and Subdivision Examiner, by report dated  
6 May 23, 1979 has recommended that the property subject to the  
7 application of ALAN M. MOSER, designated Building and Land  
8 Development File No. 224-79-R, be reclassified from SR to RM 1800,  
9 in lieu of RM 900, subject to a Planned Unit Development, and

10 WHEREAS, the Examiner's recommendation has been appealed by  
11 the applicant, and

12 WHEREAS, the Council has reviewed the record and the written  
13 appeal arguments, and

14 WHEREAS, the Council concurs with, and adopts as its own, the  
15 Examiner's findings and conclusions, except Conclusion No. 3 on  
16 page 7 of his report, and

17 WHEREAS, the Council finds that the Examiner erred in con-  
18 cluding that the RM 1800 classification would be more appropriate  
19 for this site than the RM 900 classification, and

20 WHEREAS, the Council concludes that the property should be  
21 reclassified to RM 900, provided it is subject to a planned unit  
22 development as set forth in the Examiner's recommendation,

23 NOW THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:  
24 The reclassification from SR to RM 900 petitioned by ALAN M. MOSER  
25 under Building and Land Development File No. 224-79-R is approved  
26 subject to the following pre-ordinance conditions:

- 27 1. The property owner shall obtain approval of a  
28 preliminary planned unit development in accordance  
29 with the provisions of Chapter 21.56 King County  
Code within twenty-four months from the date of  
Council action on this application.
- 30 2. The applicant shall obtain approval of final  
31 planned unit development plans in accordance  
32 with the provisions of Chapter 21.56 King County  
Code. The proposed ordinance for this reclassi-  
33 fication and the proposed ordinance for the final  
planned unit development shall be transmitted to  
the Council for action at the same time.

3. The planned unit development shall reflect the conditions listed in Conclusion No. 4, pages 7 and 8, of the Examiner's report of May 23, 1979 on this application.

PASSED THIS 9<sup>th</sup> day of July, 1979.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL  
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Ruby Chow  
Chairman

ATTEST:

Jessie M. Amma  
Deputy Clerk of the Council

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33